

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,143	04/03/2001	Yoshiyuki Yasui	003510-089	8922	
	590 05/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
Platon N. Mandros			KING, BRADLEY T		
BURNS, DOAI P.O. Box 1404	NE, SWECKER & MA	THIS, L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/824,143	YASUI ET AL.	V
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Bradley T King	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	· -		lress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE WORLD TO THE WORLD	ION FOR ALLOWA ation. A proper repl h places the applica	NCE. ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in If the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consi <u>se Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered and will be entered and will be	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10. Other:			
	•		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is maintained the rejections are proper. Yamaguchi et al teach the use of road surface mu slopes Gd determined from slopes of braking forces (see page 22, lines 52-58) as a factor for determining braking forces to compensate for surface conditions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of mu slopes (gradients) in the brake system of Wakata et al to improve braking performance (see page 2, lines 15-50 of Yamaguchi et al).

JACK LAVINDER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

I /